Mass and Angular Momentum Transformations in Massive Binary Stars



Douglas R. Gies

Center for High Angular Resolution Astronomy Department of Physics and Astronomy Georgia State University





Theme: mass and angular momentum allotments in massive binaries play critical roles over lives

- Progenitors of the Long Gamma Ray Bursts
- Binary Star Surveys
- Early Stages of Interacting Binaries
- High Angular Resolution of Be Star Disks with the GSU CHARA Array Interferometer
- Later Stages of Interacting Binaries
- Summary and Speculations

Progenitors of Long Gamma Ray Bursts

- Collapsar model (Woosley 1993): core of a massive, fast rotating star collapses into a black hole
- An accretion disk is formed around the black hole if the core has enough specific angular momentum
- Remainder of the core is accreted onto the black hole and a highly relativistic collimated outflow is produced which releases a large amount of energy
- Duration consistent with collapse of star with no H envelope
- Need rapidly rotating WR stars



How to achieve rapid rotation?

- Massive stars lose angular momentum by stellar winds (driven by metal spectral transitions so less in low metallicity regions)
- Binaries may help (Langer et al. 2008):
 - mass transfer and spin up
 - mass loss at critical rotation and spin down
 - spin-orbit coupling (spin down and spin up)



4

Difficult to find successful binary models

- Detmers et al. (2008) show that spin orbit coupling may yield a rapidly rotating WR if companion is compact (black hole) and in close orbit
- Most common outcome is WR+BH merger, and predicted rates are similar to estimated LGRB rates
- Cantiello et al. (2007):
 - gainer spin up by mass transfer
 - runaway star (gainer+BH) created by SN
 - travels ~200 pc and maintains fast spin
 - gainer explodes as collapsar, GRB (by merger?)
- Nearby GRBs observed ~100 pc from young clusters (Hammer et al. 2006)

Need to study these binary processes in relatively nearby environments and in earlier stages in the lives of binaries.

Lots of examples!



Binary Star Surveys: Speckle Interferometry

- Orion: high incidence of binaries and multiples among the high mass stars (Schertl et al. 2003; Kraus et al. 2007) compared to the low mass stars (Köhler et al. 2006)
- Speckle survey of Galactic O-stars: sep.> 30 mas, Δm< 3, KPNO/CTIO 4 m (Mason et al. 1998)
- Included results on spectroscopic/visual binaries
- Binaries are common: >59% have companions among O-stars in clusters and associations (fewer in field and runaway stars)
- New survey (10 years later) by Mason et al. (2009) finds 23% visual binary frequency (14 new binaries)





If distribution is flat in log P then most O-stars have companions

Binary Star Surveys: Adaptive optics imaging



AEOS 3.67m telescope

- Selects long period and potentially faint companions (best nearby)
 - Difficult to distinguish physical companions from field stars at faint limit ($\Delta m > 8$)
- B-star survey (70): 23±6% binary (*I*-band; Roberts et al. 2007)
- O-star survey (116): 29±5% binary (for delta *I*<8; Turner et al. 2008)

Binary Surveys:

Spectroscopic Binaries

- Measurements difficult because of stellar rotation, pulsation, emission
- Need many observations, long term programs
- Favors close, massive binaries with orbital planes close to line of sight
- NGC 6231: 63±20% spectroscopic binary (Sana et al. 2007)
- Cas OB6: 50±19% spectroscopic binary (Hillwig et al. 2006; Doppler tomography)



Binary Surveys:

Combining results with biases

- These methods select only certain kinds of binaries, so the total binary frequency remains unknown
- Kouwenhoven et al. (2007) developed Monte Carlo simulations of how observational programs of RV and AO measurements sample the binary population in Sco OB2 (intermediate mass sample, *d* = 130 pc)
- Binary fraction is about 100% among A, B stars
- "… multiplicity is a fundamental parameter in the star forming process."

- Binary Star Survey: Cyg OB2 association -Space interferometry, AO, spectroscopy
- Cyg OB2 super-star cluster at 1.5 kpc
- Spectroscopic survey by Kiminki et al. (2007)
- HST Fine Guidance Sensors (10 mas resolution)
 Gemini North, NIRI/ALTAIR, JHK



Transformations in Massive Binaries: CRA Collog



Early Stages of Interacting Binaries

- 50% of O-stars are binaries that will interact during lifetime (P<10 y)
- Mass transfer occurs during episodes of growth in radius
- Mass transfer will shrink orbit until mass ratio reversed (gainer larger)
- Continued mass transfer will enlarge orbit



Rapid mass transfer stage: W Serpentis stars (Beta Lyr and <u>RY Scuti</u>; Grundstrom et al. 2007)

- 7 M_{sun} (O9.7 lbpe) + 30 M_{sun} (B0.5 l = torus)
- Circumbinary outflow into nebula (Smith et al. 1999)





2000 AU

Slow mass transfer stage: Algols (<u>RY Per;</u> Barai et al. 2005)

Gas stream torque; spin up of mass gainer



End of mass transfer: Be stars (Phi Per; Gies et al. 1998; 59 Cyg, FY CMa, BeXRBs) High Angular Resolution of Be Star Disks with the CHARA Array Interferometer

- B-type, rapid rotators (> 80% critical velocity)
- Circumstellar gas disks revealed by <u>emission lines</u> (hydrogen Balmer series), <u>infrared excess</u> continuum emission, and <u>linear polarization</u> (of scattered star light)
- Disk features inherently time variable:
 B → Be → B ...(months to decades)

CHARA Array at Mt. Wilson Obs. Opt/IR long baseline interferometer Six 1 meter aperture telescopes

30 – 330 meter baselines





- Resolve objects as small as 0.2 milliarcsec
- Huge potential for stellar fundamental properties, interior structure and evolution, pulsation, surface features, companions, mass loss and environs

Michelson's Mt. Wilson Interferometer

Theo ten Brummelaar

CHARA Year-Three Science Review American Museum of Natural History New York City March 15/16, 2007

Hal McAlister

<u>GSU</u>, Michigan, Sydney, NOAO, MSC, Obs. Paris, Obs. Cote d'Azur, Obs. Geneva CHARA Array: Be stars (Gies et al. 2007)

- K-band interferometric observations of four bright Be stars (2003 – 2005)
- CHARA Classic beam combiner (pair-wise)
- Observations interposed with calibrator stars with known angular diameter in order to transform *instrumental* fringe visibility into *absolute* visibility V
- V = Fourier transform of angular image

Models of K-band Visibility

- Uniform disk star with set angular diameter
- Disk geometry (Hummel & Vrancken 2000) $\rho(R,Z) = \rho_0 R^{-n} \exp[-0.5(Z/H(R))^2]$
 - ρ_0 = base density (g cm⁻³)
 - n = radial density exponent
- Observer parameters
 - = inclination of disk normal
 - α = position angle (E from N) of disk normal

γ Cas: single star fit $\alpha = 116^{\circ}$, $i = 51^{\circ}$, $\rho_0 = 7 \times 10^{-11}$, n = 2.7



ζ Tau: single star fit $\alpha = 38^{\circ}$, $i = 90^{\circ}$, $\rho_0 = 2x10^{-10}$, n = 3.1



Transformations in Massive Binaries: CRA Colloquium, Jan. 15, 2009

 φ Per: binary with P = 126.7 d $\alpha = 49^{\circ}$, $i = 69^{\circ}$, $\rho_0 = 1 \times 10^{-11}$, n = 1.8



Transformations in Massive Binaries: CRA Colloquium, Jan. 15, 2009

Results

- Disks appear smaller in K-band than in H-alpha but have similar geometric appearance (NPOI: Tycner et al. 2006)
- Total disk mass of 10⁻⁷ to 10⁻⁶ solar masses
- For disk filling time ≈ 1 year, angular momentum loss sufficient to spin-down stars during main sequence

Upcoming for CHARA

- FLUOR: precise V (Merand,Touhami)
- VEGA: spectral dispersion in optical for disk kinematics (Mourard, Stee)
- MIRC: multi-beam,
 V + phase for imaging (Monnier, Zhou)





Beta Lyr with CHARA MIRC (H-band)





P = 13 d Zhao et al. (2008)

Later Stages of Interacting Binaries: After the SN

- Donor usually less massive at SN
- Depending on SN "kick", binary may break up <u>or</u> survive with remnant in orbit
- Runaway star, speed ~ orbital velocity before SN



After Supernova Period = 4.1 days

Later Stages of Interacting Binaries: OB Runaway Stars

- Most are single (Gies & Bolton 1986) and rapid rotators (Blaauw 1993)
- Some were ejected by close encounters with binaries in dense clusters
- Some are SN survivors that remain bound with NS/BH companions:
 LS5039 (McSwain et al. 2004):
 P = 4 d; microquasar and TeV GR source
 HD14633 (Boyajian et al. 2005):
 P = 15 d; X-ray "quiet" binary

Mass "Return to Sender": Be X-ray Binaries

- P = 16 400 d,little tidal spin down
- Mass and angular momentum loss into circumstellar disk
- Disk growth promotes mass transfer to NS (X Per: see Grundstrom et al. 2007)



Disk limited by tidal resonances with NS; Mass loss by disk spiral arms at periastron (LS I +61 303: Grundstrom et al. 2007) (Okazaki et al. 2002)



Transformations in Massive Binaries: CRA Colloquium, Jan. 15, 2009



Evolved Roche-filling Gainers:

Black Hole X-ray Binary Cygnus X-1

- O9.7 lab + black hole, P = 5.6 d
- X-rays fueled by wind or stream capture?
- Gies, Bolton, et al. (2008): HST/STIS UV spectroscopy to explore mass transfer
- UV wind lines show that wind-driving atoms are fully X-ray photoionized: shadow wind
- He II 4686 emission suggests dense gas stream always present

Shadow Wind in Cyg X-1



X-ray states in Cyg X-1: Inverse H-alpha (wind) vs. X-ray (accretion) trend; Strong shadow wind may inhibit BH mass accretion



Transformations in Massive Binaries: CRA Colloquium, Jan. 15, 2009

Evolved Roche-filling Gainers: Super-Eddington Accretion in SS 433

- Example of recent SN (20,000 y old)
- Central object
 is a massive
 binary emitting
 relativistic jets
 (0.26 c)



Precessing Jets in SS 433



VLBA: 42 days covering roughly 1/4 of the precession period

SS 433 Mass Loss: jets and disk

Circumbinary disk



Mass loss into jets, disk wind, CB disk



Detection of the SS 433 Donor: A-supergiant filling Roche lobe

- Best opportunity: donor in front and above disk
- Hillwig & Gies (2008): *Gemini* blue spectra, lines of A3 I star
- Radial velocity curves

 + jet model inclination:
 12.3 M_{sun} A-supergiant
 4.3 M_{sun} black hole



Summary and Speculations

- Mass and angular momentum losses/gains play central role in evolution of massive binaries
- Importance for first generation of stars where massive stars predominate
- Messy process: binary loss in disks, jets, winds
- Limits on masses of black holes in binaries because of prior mass loss
- Consequences for nuclear processing yields (nuclear processed gas revealed, transferred)

With thanks to my collaborators:

- Hal McAlister, Theo ten Brummelaar, Bill Bagnuolo, David Wingert, Gail Schaefer, Ellyn Baines, Antoine Mérand, Nils Turner, Tabetha Boyajian, Yamina Touhami, Noel Richardson, Saida, Caballero-Nieves, Steve Williams, Rachel Matson (GSU)
- Erika Grundstrom (Vanderbilt Univ.)
- Ginny McSwain (Lehigh Univ.)
- Wenjin Huang (Cal Tech)
- Tom Bolton (Univ. Toronto)
- Laura Penny (College of Charleston)
- David Berger, John Monnier, Ming Zhao (Univ. Michigan)
- Jason Aufdenberg (Embry-Riddle Aero. Univ.)
- Geraldine Peters (Univ. Southern California)
- Brian Mason, Bill Hartkopf (USNO)
- Todd Hillwig (Valparaiso Univ.)
- Lex Kaper (Univ. Amsterdam)
- Phil Massey (Lowell Obs.)